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SUMMARY

$N^6$-methyladenosine ($m^6$A) is the most abundant internal modification in RNAs and plays regulatory roles in a variety of biological and physiological processes. Despite its important roles, the molecular mechanism underlying $m^6$A-mediated gene regulation is poorly understood. Here, we show that $m^6$A-containing RNAs are subject to endoribonucleolytic cleavage via YTHDF2 ($m^6$A reader protein), HRSP12 (adaptor protein), and RNase P/MRP (endoribonucleases). We demonstrate that HRSP12 functions as an adaptor to bridge YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP, eliciting rapid degradation of YTHDF2-bound RNAs. Transcriptome-wide analyses show that $m^6$A RNAs that are preferentially targeted for endoribonucleolytic cleavage have an HRSP12-binding site and a RNase P/MRP-directed cleavage site upstream and downstream of the YTHDF2-binding site, respectively. We also find that a subset of $m^6$A-containing circular RNAs associates with YTHDF2 in an HRSP12-dependent manner and is selectively downregulated by RNase P/MRP. Thus, our data expand the known functions of RNase P/MRP to endoribonucleolytic cleavage of $m^6$A RNAs.

INTRODUCTION

Considerable recent studies revealed the importance of post-transcriptional RNA modifications in shaping an epitranscriptomic landscape of gene expression (Meyer and Jaffrey, 2017; Yang et al., 2018). To date, more than 100 distinct types of RNA modifications have been characterized. Among them, methylation of the 6-amino group of adenosine to generate $N^6$-methyladenosine ($m^6$A) is the most abundant internal modification of RNAs (Dominissini et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2012). $m^6$As in RNAs are selectively recognized by $m^6$A-specific binding proteins, such as YT521-B homology (YTH)-domain-containing proteins, hnRNPs, and elf3 (Alarcón et al., 2015; Dominissini et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014). Demethylases such as FTO and ALKBH5 are involved in the removal of $m^6$A (Jia et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2013).

Recent research revealed that $m^6$A in RNAs exerts regulatory functions in a broad spectrum of biological and physiological processes (Fu et al., 2014; Meyer and Jaffrey, 2017; Roundtree et al., 2017a; Yang et al., 2018). At the molecular level, $m^6$A is known to affect mRNA stability, mRNA translation, microRNA biogenesis, and splicing, most of which are mediated by specific $m^6$A-binding proteins. For instance, YTHDF2, one of the YTH-domain-containing proteins, destabilizes $m^6$A-containing RNAs (Du et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014). YTHDF1 and YTHDF3 promote translation of $m^6$A mRNAs (Li et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015). In addition, YTHDC1 is known to regulate pre-mRNA splicing and nuclear export of $m^6$A mRNAs (Roundtree et al., 2017b; Xiao et al., 2016).

Eukaryotic RNase P and its close relative RNase MRP are essential ribonucleoprotein complexes that function as endoribonucleases (Jarrous, 2017). Mammalian RNase P and RNase MRP contain common protein components (in the order of their molecular weight: POP1, RPP38, POP5, RPP25, RPP20, RPP30, and RPP40) and their unique noncoding RNA components, RNPH1 and RMRP RNAs, respectively. Originally, RNase P and RNase MRP were identified to be the endoribonucleases responsible for the maturation of tRNA and mitochondrial RNA processing of replication primers, respectively. Nonetheless, it was later shown that eukaryotic RNase P and RNase MRP are involved in the cleavage of a wide range of RNA substrates, such as rRNAs, long noncoding RNAs, and mRNAs (Coughlin et al., 2008; Jarrous, 2017; Maid et al., 2009; Wilusz et al., 2008).

Despite the biological importance of the aforementioned $m^6$A modification, the molecular mechanisms underlying $m^6$A-mediated gene regulation are poorly understood. In this study, we report that $m^6$A RNAs are endoribonucleolytically cleaved by a YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP axis. These findings shed light on the molecular mechanisms of multilayered gene regulation mediated by $m^6$A modification in RNA.
Results

HRSP12 Links YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP

An interaction between Pho92 (a yeast homolog of human YTHDF2) and Mmf1 (a yeast homolog of human heat-responsive protein 12 [HRSP12]) was experimentally identified by tandem affinity purification (Krogan et al., 2006). In addition, a large-scale de novo prediction of physical protein–protein association using a combined random forests and Bayesian learning strategy predicted an interaction between HRSP12 and POP1, a component of RNase P/MRP (Elefsinioti et al., 2011). In this study, we set out to assess the predicted interactions by in vivo and in vitro experimental approaches.

Immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments revealed that YTHDF2, HRSP12, and POP1 were complexed with one another in an RNase A-resistant manner (Figures 1A and S1A). The previously known YTHDF2-interacting protein CNOT1 (a component of the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex [Du et al., 2016]) was also selectively enriched in the IPs. Of note, YTHDF1 and YTHDF3, two paralogs of YTHDF2, were only marginally enriched in the immunoprecipitates of HRSP12 (Figure S1B), pointing to preferential association between YTHDF2 and HRSP12. In vitro GST pull-down experiments showed that purified recombinant His-HRSP12 was selectively enriched in the pull-down of GST-YTHDF2, but not GST or GST-RavZ (a negative control protein; Figures 1B and S1C), indicating a direct interaction between YTHDF2 and HRSP12. In addition, far-western blotting involving purified recombinant proteins and either immunopurified FLAG-GFP (Figures S1D and S1E) or POP1-FLAG (Figures 1C and S1D) as a probe revealed a direct interaction between HRSP12 and POP1. Collectively, our data showed that human HRSP12 directly interacts with YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP. Considering that most of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 were enriched in the cytoplasmic fraction and that POP1 was distributed throughout the cell (Figure S1F), it is likely that the complex formation largely occurs in the cytoplasm.

In the above-mentioned far-western blotting, the immunopurified POP1-FLAG probe reacted only with His-HRSP12 (not GST-YTHDF2), suggesting a lack of a direct interaction between YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP. Considering that most of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 were enriched in the cytoplasmic fraction and that POP1 was distributed throughout the cell (Figure S1F), it is likely that the complex formation largely occurs in the cytoplasm.

In the above-mentioned far-western blotting, the immunopurified POP1-FLAG probe reacted only with His-HRSP12 (not GST-YTHDF2), suggesting a lack of a direct interaction between YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP. Considering that most of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 were enriched in the cytoplasmic fraction and that POP1 was distributed throughout the cell (Figure S1F), it is likely that the complex formation largely occurs in the cytoplasm. In support of this possibility, when HRSP12 was downregulated 5-fold by treatment of the cells with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), 3- to 5-fold smaller amounts of components of RNase P/MRP (POP1, RPP20, and RPP25) coimmunoprecipitated (coIPed) in the IP of YTHDF2 (Figure 1D).
Notably, the known interaction between YTHDF2 and CNOT1 was affected only marginally. Therefore, our observations indicated that HRSP12 is a molecular linker bridging YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP.

**Downregulation of HRSP12 or RNase P/MRP Components Abrogates m⁶A-Mediated mRNA Decay**

An m⁶A mRNA is destabilized under the influence of YTHDF2 (Wang et al., 2014). On the basis of our observations regarding formation of a complex of YTHDF2, HRSP12, and RNase P/MRP (Figure 1), we investigated a possible function of HRSP12 and RNase P/MRP in m⁶A-mediated mRNA decay. To this end, the two different previously established m⁶A β-globin reporter systems (Du et al., 2016) were employed (Figure 2A). SON-WT and SON-Mut reporter mRNAs contained β-globin genomic sequences harboring three m⁶A target sites derived from the wild-type (WT) SON gene (SON-WT) and the mutated version (Mut) of the corresponding sequences (SON-Mut) within the
m^6^A-Mediated mRNA Decay Is Mechanistically Different from Glucocorticoid Receptor (GR)-Mediated mRNA Decay (GMD)

Previous reports showed that HRSP12 participates in GMD, which is induced by a glucocorticoid (GC), a specific GR ligand (Cho et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016). In GMD, a ligand-free GR directly binds to a subset of m^6^A-methylated mRNAs. In the presence of GC, the mRNA-bound GR associates with GC, providing a favorable platform for the loading of HRSP12, triggering effective GMD of GR-bound mRNAs. Therefore, given that HRSP12 is a GMD component, it is possible that m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay is affected by GMD or vice versa.

Although treatment with dexamethasone (Dex), which is a synthetic derivative of a GC, did not affect m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay of both SON and PLAC2 reporter mRNAs (Figure S2G), the level of an endogenous GMD substrate, CCL2 mRNA, drastically decreased after Dex treatment (Figure S2H). Furthermore, downregulation of POP1 did not affect GMD (Figures S2I and S2J). As expected, both m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay and GMD were inhibited by HRSP12 downregulation (Figures 2B and S2J). In addition, complementation of HRSP12 through expression of exogenous FLAG-HRSP12-WT in HRSP12-KO HAP1 cells restored the efficiency of both m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay (Figures 2G and 2H) and GMD (Figures S2K and S2L). Of note, although exogenously expressed FLAG-HRSP12-P105A/R107E successfully restored m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay (Figures 2G and 2H), it failed to restore the functionality of GMD (Figures S2K and S2L). It is known that the HRSP12-P105A/R107E variant cannot form a trimeric structure and fails to maintain the structural integrity of a functionally active GMD complex (Park et al., 2016). These data suggest that GMD, but not m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay, requires the trimerization motif of HRSP12. Collectively, our data indicated that m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay is mechanistically distinct from GMD.

N-Terminal 100 Amino Acid (aa) Residues of YTHDF2 Are Sufficient for Eliciting RNA Decay via HRSP12

To delineate the minimal functional region of YTHDF2 for m^6^A-mediated RNA decay involving HRSP12, YTHDF2 was artificially tethered to the 3’ UTR of a reporter mRNA using the bacteriophage MS2 coat protein (MS2) and its binding site (MS2bs; Figure 3A). In parental HAP1 cells, artificially tethered MS2-HA-YTHDF2 and MS2-HA-SMG5, but not MS2-HA-GFP, elicited rapid degradation of reporter mRNA (Figures 3B and S3A). SMG5, a specific cellular factor for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay, is known to elicit rapid mRNA degradation when it is tethered to the 3’ UTR of a reporter mRNA (Cho et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that the observed rapid mRNA degradation by the tethered YTHDF2, but not by the tethered SMG5, was drastically inhibited in HRSP12-KO HAP1 cells, suggesting that YTHDF2-mediated mRNA degradation specifically depends on HRSP12.

We next generated two deletion variants of YTHDF2 (Figure 3A): YTHDF2-N (lacking a YTH domain in a C-terminal region) and YTHDF2-C (lacking a P/Q/N-rich low-complexity region without a clear domain in the N-terminal part). The IP results showed that HRSP12, POP1, RPP25, and CNOT1 coIPed with the full-length YTHDF2 and YTHDF2-N, but not with YTHDF2-C (Figure 3C). In agreement with the IP results, tethered YTHDF2 and YTHDF2-N, but not YTHDF2-C, elicited rapid mRNA degradation in an HRSP12-dependent manner (Figures 3D and S3B).

The N-terminal region of YTHDF2 was further subdivided into four segments: aa 1–100, aa 101–200, aa 201–383 (Figure 3A). We carried out GST pull-down experiments using the extracts of cells expressing GST-HRSP12 and one of the deletion variants of YTHDF2 (Figure 3E), Variants YTHDF2-N, 1–100, and NA101–200 were significantly enriched in the pull-down of GST-HRSP12. Variant 201–383 was only weakly enriched in the pull-down. In contrast, variant 101–200, which is known to directly interact with CNOT1 (Du et al., 2016), was undetectable in the pull-down. In line with the GST pull-down results, artificial tethering of variants N, 1–100, or NA101–200 elicited rapid mRNA degradation in an HRSP12-dependent manner (Figures 3F and S3C). In addition, variant 201–383, which manifested a weak interaction with HRSP12, caused slight mRNA downregulation without a significant dependence on HRSP12. Of note, tethered variant 101–200 elicited rapid mRNA degradation in an HRSP12-independent manner, probably via the CNOT1 interaction, suggesting that...
the HRSP12-RNase P/MRP pathway is mechanistically separable from the CCR4-NOT1 pathway. Thus, we conclude that the N-terminal 1–100 aa of YTHDF2 represent a minimal region for HRSP12 binding and are sufficient for rapid RNA degradation with the help of HRSP12.

**HRSP12 and RNase P/MRP Destabilize YTHDF2-Bound Transcripts at the Transcriptome Level**

To investigate the effect of HRSP12 and RNase P/MRP at the transcriptome level, we carried out mRNA sequencing (mRNA-seq) experiments for measuring abundance (Figures 4A–4F; Tables S1A and S1B) and half-life (Figures 4G–4I; Table S1C) using total-RNA samples purified from HeLa cells either not depleted or depleted of the protein indicated in the figures. One research group (Wang et al., 2014) identified YTHDF2-binding transcripts in HeLa cells by photoactivatable ribonucleoside cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) and a RNP-IP followed by next-generation sequencing (RIP-seq). According to the data from the PAR-CLIP and RIP-seq, HeLa cell transcripts were categorized into four groups: non-targets (absent from the PAR-CLIP and RIP-seq), CLIP–IP targets (present only in the PAR-CLIP but not in RIP-seq), CLIP targets (present in the PAR-CLIP), and CLIP X IP targets (present in both the PAR-CLIP and RIP-seq).

A cumulative distribution function (CDF) analysis revealed that overall the CLIP–IP, CLIP, and CLIP X IP targets significantly and gradually increased in abundance upon downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or METTL3 (Figures 4A–4E and S4A–S4C), and their half-life increased after downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, or POP1 (Figures 4G–4I) as compared with non-targets. In agreement of these results, the reanalysis of previously reported RNA-seq data from HRSP12-depleted HeLa cells (Park et al., 2016) and RMRP knockdown HeLa cells generated by targeted CRISPR-based disruption (Goldfarb and Cech, 2017) also revealed a significant increase in the abundance of CLIP–IP, CLIP, and CLIP X IP targets after HRSP12 downregulation (Figure S4D) or RMRP downregulation (Figure S4E) in comparison with the control cells. Collectively, the data indicated that

---

**Figure 3. N-Terminal 100 aa of YTHDF2 Are a Minimal Region for Eliciting RNA Degradation via Its Binding to HRSP12**

(A) Schematic representation of reporter mRNA and effector proteins used in our MS2/MS2bs tethering system. The β-6bs reporter mRNA contained six tandem repeats of the MS2bs in the 3’ UTR of the β-globin gene. Effector proteins were fused to MS2 followed by an HA tag. FL, full-length; P/Q/N, P/Q/N-rich low-complexity regions; YTH, YTH domain.

(B) Tethering experiments in parental or HRSP12-KO HAP1 cells. The cells were transiently transfected with a reporter plasmid, one of the effector plasmids, and a reference plasmid, pHCMV-MUP.

(C) IPs of YTHDF2 or its variants. IPs were carried out with an α-FLAG antibody and the extracts of cells expressing either FLAG-YTHDF2 or its variant. Total-cell extracts were treated with RNase A before IPs. n = 2.

(D) Artificial tethering of YTHDF2 or its variants. As performed in (B), except that MS2-HA-YTHDF2 or one of its variants was tethered. n = 3; **p < 0.01.

(E) A GST pull-down assay using GST-HRSP12 and MS2-HA-fused YTHDF2 variants. The extracts of cells expressing GST-HRSP12 and one of MS2-HA-fused YTHDF2 variants were treated with GST pull-down. n = 2.

(F) Artificial tethering of YTHDF2 variants. n = 3; **p < 0.01.
HRSP12 and RNase P/MRP destabilize YTHDF2-bound transcripts at the transcriptome level. It should be noted that significant upregulation of CLIP–IP, CLIP, and CLIP\(|\text{IP}\) targets was also observed when CNOT1 was downregulated (Figure 4F). Double downregulation of HRSP12 and CNOT1 did not significantly affect the abundance of non-targets, CLIP, or CLIP\(|\text{IP}\) transcripts as compared with single downregulation of either HRSP12 or CNOT1 (Figures S4F–S4I), suggesting that two RNA decay pathways mediated by the HRSP12-RNase P/MRP or CCR4-NOT complex are coupled to each other for efficient m\(^6\)A RNA decay.

HRSP12 Directly Binds to a Transcript and Elicits YTHDF2-Mediated RNA Decay

A recent effort to screen proteins for RNA-binding ones in HeLa cells identified HRSP12 as a RNA-binding protein (Castello et al., 2012). Therefore, to look for transcriptome-wide interactions between HRSP12 and target transcripts, we carried out cross-linking IP experiments coupled with high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-seq).

Raw reads obtained from two independent CLIP-seq experiments with HRSP12 (CLIP1 and CLIP2) were processed by adaptor sequence trimming, removal of ribosomal sequences,
Figure 5. HRSP12 Directly Binds to a Transcript and Elicits Rapid RNA Degradation via the YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP Pathway

(A) Metagene profiles of the CLIP peaks of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 (Wang et al., 2014).

(B) Venn diagrams for the number of genes (abundance of transcripts: FPKM ≥ 5) containing HRSP12 peaks or YTHDF2 peaks.

(C–G) CDF plots of the relative changes in abundance of transcripts among non-targets (2,213), H–Y (1,271), Y–H (1,634), and H/H-Y targets (700) after down-regulation of YTHDF2 (C), HRSP12 (D), POP1 (E), RPP20 (F), or CNOT1 (G).

(H) The logo of the consensus motif for HRSP12 binding. The consensus motif was discovered by MEME (upper, 3.4 × 10^-30) or HOMER (lower, p = 1.0 × 10^-73).
and mapping to the human reference genome sequence (hg19: Table S2). After a peak-calling process, we obtained 11,883 called peaks in CLIP1 and 18,703 called peaks in CLIP2. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between CLIP1 and CLIP2 for reads per million mapped reads (RPMs) of the common peaks (8,822) was 0.927, indicating a strong correlation between CLIP1 and CLIP2 (Figure S5A). When the number of peaks was adjusted for the nucleotide length of the regions, the majority of the peaks were preferentially enriched in 5' UTRs and coding sequences (CDs; Figure S5B).

Previously reported raw data from YTHDF2 PAR-CLIP (Wang et al., 2014) were also processed via PARalyzer, a PAR-CLIP peak-calling tool. Then, the relative densities of the peaks (3,264) obtained from HRSP12 CLIPs and the peaks (8,142) obtained from YTHDF2 CLIPs were compared by metagene analysis (Figure 5A). HRSP12 peaks were preferentially mapped to CDs. In agreement with another report (Wang et al., 2014), the data processing through our pipeline revealed that YTHDF2 and red, respectively. n = 3.

The HRSP12-binding motif and the mutated sequences are indicated in blue probe served as a control. n = 3.

Among these, 700 genes were in the overlap between HRSP12 and YTHDF2, respectively (Figure 5B). Among these, 700 genes were in the overlap between HRSP12 CLIP and YTHDF2 CLIP. We next categorized the transcripts into three groups: non-targets (2,213; absent from both HRSP12 CLIP and YTHDF2 CLIP), H-Y targets (1,271; present only in the HRSP12 CLIP), Y-H only targets (1,634; present only in the YTHDF2 CLIP), and H-Y targets (700; present in both the HRSP12 CLIP and YTHDF2 CLIP). The CDF analysis uncovered a significant and gradual increase in the abundance of H-Y, Y-H, and H-Y targets after downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or CNOT1 (Figures S5C and S5D). Consistent with these results, the reanalysis of previously reported RNA-seq data from HeLa cells depleted of HRSP12 (Park et al., 2016) or RMRP RNA (Goldfarb and Cech, 2017) also indicated that H–Y, Y–H, and H–Y targets were gradually upregulated upon downregulation of HRSP12 (Figure S5C) or RMRP (Figure S5D). Furthermore, HRSP12-bound m^6^A transcripts more significantly increased in abundance when YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or CNOT1 were downregulated, as compared with transcripts lacking the motif (253; Figure 5I). The number of the motifs correlated with upregulation of transcripts after the downregulation of HRSP12 with weak significance (Figure S5K). Direct binding of HRSP12 to the GGUUC motif was demonstrated by in vitro CLIP experiments with biotinylated probes (Figure 5J), which allow for measuring a direct protein-RNA interaction (Castello et al., 2012). The substitution of GGUUC with GCAAC disrupted the binding of HRSP12. In addition, we found that the SON reporter mRNA contained the consensus sequence that matched the identified HRSP12-binding motif downstream of m^6^A sites (Figure 5K).

In vivo CLIP experiments, which allow for monitoring a direct protein-RNA interaction within the cell (Murigneux et al., 2013), showed that SON reporter mRNA harboring GGUUC, but not its variant GCAAC, was preferentially enriched in HRSP12 CLIP (Figure 5L). In addition, substitution of GGUUC with GCAAC increased the half-life of SON reporter mRNA (Figure 5M), suggesting that direct binding of HRSP12 to the motif promotes m^6^A-mediated mRNA decay.

m^6^A-Containing Transcripts Are Endoribonucleolytically Cleaved in a Way that Depends on HRSP12 and RNase P/MRP

Although one report showed that HRSP12 has an endoribonuclease activity (Morishita et al., 1999), purified recombinant HRSP12 and HRSP12(P105A/R107E) failed to cleave RNA substrates under our conditions (Figure S6A). Considering that HRSP12 links YTHDF2 and RNase P/MRP in vivo and in vitro (Figure 1), we tested whether m^6^A transcripts could be targeted for RNase P/MRP-mediated endoribonucleolytic cleavage. To this end, we designed specific oligonucleotides for amplification of different regions of SON reporter mRNAs (Figure S6B). To stabilize 3' intermediate fragments, HeLa cells that were either depleted or not depleted of POP1 were simultaneously depleted of both cytoplasmic and nuclear 5'-to-3' exoribonucleases: XRN1 and XRN2 (XRN1/2). The amounts of all the tested fragments of SON-WT reporter mRNA effectively decreased in a POP1-dependent manner (Figures S6C and S6D). Intriguingly,

---

(1) CDF plots of the relative changes in abundance of transcripts without (253 genes) or with (2,081 genes) the consensus motif (GGUUC) among YTHDF2 CLIP targets (2,334) after downregulation of HRSP12.
(2) In vitro CLIP by means of a biotinylated probe harboring the HRSP12-binding motif (GGUUC) or its mutant variant (GCAAC). A biotinylated random 23-mer RNA probe served as a control. n = 3.
(3) Schematic representation of m^6^A reporter mRNAs: SON-WT and SON-WT–MT1. The HRSP12-binding motif and the mutated sequences are indicated in blue and red, respectively.
(4) In vivo CLIP of HRSP12 using SON reporter mRNAs. n = 3.
(5) Half-life of SON reporter mRNAs in the cells either not depleted or depleted of HRSP12. n = 3.
downregulation of XRN1/2 significantly reversed the observed reduction in the level of the 3’-1 and 3’-2 fragments of SON-WT reporter mRNA, without affecting the level of the 3’-3 fragment, 5’ fragment, and the full-length reporter mRNA (Figure S6D), indicating that RNase P/MPR cleaves a region 0–60 nucleotides downstream of the HRSP12-binding site in SON reporter mRNA. Similar experiments using HAP1 cells, either parental or HRSP12-KO (Figures S6E and S6F), also suggested that HRSP12 elicits endoribonucleolytic cleavage of m^5A mRNA.

**The HRSP12-Binding Site and RNase P/MPR Cleavage Site Are Mostly Located Upstream and Downstream, Respectively, of the YTHDF2-Binding Site**

To identify the exact positions of endoribonucleolytic sites cleaved by RNase P/MPR within YTHDF2-bound transcripts at a transcriptome level, we applied parallel analysis of RNA ends sequencing (PARE-seq), which enables determination of the cleavage sites dependent on YTHDF2, HRSP12, and RNase P/MPR, respectively) as cleavage sites dependent on YTHDF2, HRSP12, and RNase P/MPR. After that, the 5’ and 3’ cleavage sites were positioned downstream of SON-WT reporter mRNA (German et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2015).

To stabilize 3’ intermediate fragments, HeLa cells were depleted of XRN1/2. To select 3’ intermediate fragments dependent on YTHDF2, HRSP12, and RNase P/MPR, the cells were simultaneously depleted of XRN1/2 and YTHDF2, HRSP12, or POP1. From two biological replicates (PARE1 and PARE2) of PARE-seq analysis of HeLa cells depleted of XRN1/2, we obtained 21,978 and 10,648 PARE sequences, respectively (tags per 5 million [TP5M] ≥ 100; Table S3). Among these, the read abundance levels of 16,250 and 6,862 PARE sequences, respectively, commonly decreased more than 2-fold after downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, or POP1. After a FPKM filtering cutoff of 5 was applied to the abundance of transcripts, we obtained 13,105 and 5,284 PARE sequences (covering 4,471 and 2,927 genes, respectively) as cleavage sites dependent on YTHDF2, HRSP12, and RNase P/MPR. After that, the 5’ ends of the most abundant PARE sequences among those obtained from PARE1 and PARE2 were defined as PARE MaxSeqs. Nucleotide composition analysis of the 10-nucleotide region surrounding 2,257 MaxSeqs revealed no sequence preference for cleavage (Figure 6A), suggesting that the YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MPR pathway performs cleavage of target substrates in a sequence-independent manner. The majority of the MaxSeqs were enriched in both CDSs and 3’ UTRs (Figure S6G), with the highest density around the translation termination codon in metagene analysis (Figure 6B).

We next compared the genes obtained in HRSP12 CLIP, YTHDF2 CLIP, and PARE experiments and found that 328 (47%) out of the 700 genes in the overlap between HRSP12 CLIP and YTHDF2 CLIP (Figure 5B) were shared with the genes of PARE (Figure 6C). The set of 328 transcripts was found to be significantly enriched with various biological and molecular terms of Gene Ontology (Table S4). From the 328 common genes, the most abundant CLIP peaks (MaxPeaks) and PARE MaxSeqs were selected. Then, the distances between the centers of an HRSP12 MaxPeak and a PARE MaxSeq relative to the center of a YTHDF2 MaxPeak in individual mRNAs (115; Figure 6D) were plotted (see also Table S5 for genomic positions). Overall, HRSP12 MaxPeaks turned out to be positioned upstream of YTHDF2 MaxPeaks (Figure 6D) and m^5A MaxPeaks (Figure S6H) in mRNAs (the medians of the distance were 862 and 591 nucleotides, respectively). In contrast, PARE MaxSeqs were positioned downstream of YTHDF2 MaxPeaks in mRNAs (the median of the distance was 421 nucleotides). In addition, the metagene analysis of a MaxPeak and MaxSeq in individual mRNAs uncovered similar distribution patterns (Figure 6E). Of note, none of the PARE MaxSeqs was observed in the 5’ UTR. Taken together, these data indicated that there is a significant propensity of HRSP12 to bind upstream of a YTHDF2-binding site and that RNase P/MPR preferentially cleaves RNA downstream of a YTHDF2-binding site. The observed long-range interaction between HRSP12 and YTHDF2 and the presence of the cleavage sites several hundred nucleotides downstream of a YTHDF2-binding site may be achieved by long-range RNA looping. Indeed, we found that the common mRNAs were significantly longer than cellular mRNAs on average (Figure 6F).

Next, we assessed the relative change in the half-life of transcripts when a specific factor was downregulated. Cellular transcripts were categorized into three groups: non-targets (1,576, absent from HRSP12 CLIP, YTHDF2 CLIP, and PARE-seq), H/Y targets (700, common targets of both HRSP12 CLIP and YTHDF2 CLIP), and H/Y∩P targets (328, common targets of HRSP12-CLIP, YTHDF2 CLIP, and PARE-seq). The CDF analysis showed that the half-life of both H/Y and H/Y∩P targets significantly increased when YTHDF2, HRSP12, or POP1 was downregulated (Figures 6G–6I), indicating that transcripts commonly bound by YTHDF2 and HRSP12 are preferential cellular substrates for RNase P/MPR-dependent degradation.

**HRSP12 and YTHDF2 Cooperatively Work to Help Each Other to Bind to mRNA**

Our observations of a long-range interplay between HRSP12 and YTHDF2 (Figure 6) led us to wonder whether these two proteins affect each other’s RNA binding. For this purpose, we employed in vivo CLIP experiments (Figures 7A–7D and S7A–S7D). The results showed that downregulation of YTHDF2 inhibited the direct binding of HRSP12 to SON-WT reporter mRNA relative to Mut mRNA (Figure 7A) and vice versa (Figure 7B), indicating that HRSP12 cooperates with YTHDF2 for mRNA binding. Of note, POP1 downregulation did not significantly affect direct binding of HRSP12 or YTHDF2 to mRNA (Figures 7C and 7D), although POP1 efficiently associated with WT reporter mRNA (Figures S7E and S7F). The observed cooperativity between HRSP12 and YTHDF2 in terms of RNA binding within the cell was further confirmed by in vitro CLIP with biotinylated probes harboring either the HRSP12-binding motif (GGUUC) or not (GCAAC) and harboring either a nonmethylated (A) or m^5A site (Figures 7E).

**Circular RNAs (circRNAs) Associating with YTHDF2 in an HRSP12-Dependent Manner Are Preferentially Downregulated by RNase P/MPR**

We next employed circRNAs, the majority of which are generated as a consequence of back splicing of internal exons (Chen, 2016). Because circRNAs lack free 5’ and 3’ ends, endoribonucleolytic cleavage is the only way to degrade circRNAs. We first tested whether the previously characterized 11 m^5A-containing circRNAs (Yang et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017) associate with YTHDF2 (Figures 7F and S7G). Among them, seven circRNAs...
were found to be significantly enriched in the immunoprecipitate of YTHDF2. The observed enrichment with three (cMATR3, cFAM20B, and cSLC45A4) out of the seven circRNAs was significantly reversed by HRSP12 downregulation (Figure 7F). Notably, only the three out of all tested 11 circRNAs commonly increased in abundance after downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, or POP1 (Figures 7G and S7H), indicating that circRNAs associating with YTHDF2 in an HRSP12-dependent manner are endoribonucleolytically cleaved by RNase P/MRP.

**DISCUSSION**

Recent cumulative data revealed that m^6^A modification plays a regulatory role in a wide range of biological and physiological...
Figure 7. Cooperative Binding of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 to m<sup>6</sup>A RNA Contributes to Endoribonucleolytic Cleavage of a Subset of m<sup>6</sup>A-Containing Circular RNAs

(A–D) In vivo CLIPs of HRSP12 or YTHDF2. HeLa cells depleted of YTHDF2 (A), HRSP12 (B), or POP1 (C and D) were cotransfected with one of the SON reporter plasmids and with phCMV-MUP as a reference plasmid. The extracts of the cells were subjected to in vivo CLIPs using either an-HRSP12 antibody (A and C) or an-YTHDF2 antibody (B and D). The relative levels of Mut reporter mRNAs in CLIP using rIgG were arbitrarily set to 100%. n = 3; *p < 0.05; n.s.: not significant.

(E) In vitro CLIP using a biotinylated probe harboring either the HRSP12-binding motif (GGUUC) or its mutant variant (GCAAC) and harboring either nonmethylated (A) or m<sup>6</sup>A site. A biotinylated random 41-mer RNA probe served as a control. n = 3.

(legend continued on next page)
processes. Despite its important functions, the molecular details of how m⁶A RNAs are degraded by binding to YTHDF2 are still unclear. Here, we describe endoribonucleolytic cleavage of m⁶A RNAs via a YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP pathway (Figure 7H).

Our transcriptome-wide characterization of HRSP12-binding sites (Figure 5) and cleavage sites of RNase P/MRP (Figure 6) suggests that HRSP12 mostly binds to m⁶A mRNAs approximately 862 nucleotides (a median value) upstream of YTHDF2-binding sites and that RNase P/MRP mostly cleaves approximately 421 nucleotides (a median value) downstream of a YTHDF2-binding site within m⁶A RNAs. How does HRSP12 located far upstream of YTHDF2 affect mRNA stability? One possible explanation can be found in a long-range interaction within eukaryotic mRNAs (Jackson et al., 2010). For instance, a cap structure at the 5′ end can communicate with a poly(A) structure (poly(A) tail) at the 3′ end of mRNAs to modulate translation efficiency via cooperative binding of eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF4F and poly(A)-binding protein to mRNAs (Safaee et al., 2012). Analogously to the long-range interaction observed in eukaryotic mRNAs, the upstream HRSP12 may cooperatively work with the downstream YTHDF2 for their binding to mRNA. Indeed, we observed increased association between YTHDF2 and m⁶A-containing linear and circular RNAs via HRSP12 (Figure 7).

Our observations reveal complexities in the regulation of stability of m⁶A-containing RNAs. The YTHDF2-bound m⁶A RNAs may be degraded by at least two pathways: if the RNAs contain HRSP12-binding sites, these RNAs will be preferentially degraded by RNase P/MRP-mediated endoribonucleolytic pathways coupled to the CCR4-NOT complex-mediated deadenylation pathway via cooperative binding of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 to m⁶A mRNAs (Figure 7), as demonstrated by double downregulation of HRSP12 and CNOT1 (Figures S4F–S4I). Although YTHDF2-bound m⁶A RNAs lack HRSP12-binding sites, YTHDF2 may still interact with HRSP12 and elicit both decay pathways with lesser efficiency (Figures 4, 5, and 6). In addition to the two aforementioned pathways, the presence of additional routes for m⁶A RNA decay is implied by a recent report showing that YTHDF1, YTHDF2, and YTHDF3 share common targets among m⁶A RNAs and cooperatively affect the stability of common targets (Shi et al., 2017). Thus, we speculate that the complexities in degradation of m⁶A RNAs contribute to more dynamic regulation of m⁶A RNA during various biological and physiological processes involving m⁶A modification.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**METHOD DETAILS**
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-YTHDF2</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat#24744-1-AP; RRID: AB_2687435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-HRSP12</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#PA5-31352; RRID: AB_2548826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-POPL1</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat#12029-1-AP; RRID: AB_2166477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-RPP25</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat#15461-1-AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse polyclonal anti-RPP20</td>
<td>ModiQuest</td>
<td>Cat#MQ 1.201-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-METTL3</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat#15073-1-AP; RRID: AB_2142033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-METTL14</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#HPA038002; RRID: AB_10672401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-CNOT1</td>
<td>Proteintech</td>
<td>Cat#14276-1-AP; RRID: AB_10888627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-XRN1</td>
<td>Bethyl Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#A300-443A; RRID: AB_2219047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-XRN2</td>
<td>Bethyl Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#A301-103A; RRID: AB_2218876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-snRNP70</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat#sc-390899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-mt</td>
<td>Synaptic System</td>
<td>Cat#202 003; RRID: AB_2279214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#A5441; RRID: AB_476744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-GAPDH</td>
<td>Ab frontier</td>
<td>Cat#LF-P0212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#A8592; RRID: AB_439702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#A220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti MYC</td>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
<td>Cat#OP10L; RRID: AB_2266865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal anti-HA</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat#11 867 423 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-GST</td>
<td>Bethyl Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#A190-122A; RRID: AB_67419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-His</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>Cat#27-4710-01; RRID: AB_771435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli Rosetta(DE3)pLysS</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
<td>Cat#70956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
<td>Cat#89450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloheximide</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#01810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone (Dex)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#D4902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,6-dichloro-1-β-D ribofuranosylbenzimidazole (DRB)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#D1916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-human YTHDF2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-legionella RavZ</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-human HRSP12</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynabeads Protein G for Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#10003D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynabeads Protein A for Immunoprecipitation</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#10002D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMARTer smRNA-Seq Kit for Illumina</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
<td>Cat#635031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligotex mRNA Midi Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat#70042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#78835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA-seq, CLIP-seq, and PARE-seq</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>SRP155278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendeley Data dataset</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17632/rxghs5czt.1">https://doi.org/10.17632/rxghs5czt.1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: HeLa</td>
<td>Korea Cell Line Bank</td>
<td>KCLB No. 10002; RRID: CVCL_0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: HEK293T</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>ATCC number: CRL-3216; RRID: CVCL_0063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human: HRS12 knock out HAP1</td>
<td>Horizon Discovery</td>
<td>Cat ID: HZGHC004874c001; RRID: CVCL_SR67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: Parental HAP1</td>
<td>Horizon Discovery</td>
<td>Cat ID: C631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA sequences</td>
<td>See Table S6A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides used for qRT-PCRs</td>
<td>See Table S6B</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotinylated RNAs</td>
<td>See Table S6C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA</td>
<td>Cho et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-SMG5</td>
<td>Cho et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-PNRC2</td>
<td>Cho et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pc1-6bs</td>
<td>Cho et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pcDNA3-FLAG-HRS12-WT</td>
<td>Park et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pcDNA3-FLAG-HRS12-WT(P105A/R107E)</td>
<td>Park et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pCMV-MYC-HRS12</td>
<td>Park et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pBG-SON-WT</td>
<td>Du et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pBG-SON-Mut</td>
<td>Du et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pBG-SON-WT-MT1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pBG-PLAC2-WT</td>
<td>Du et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pBG-PLAC2-Mut</td>
<td>Du et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pCMV-POP1-3xFLAG</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>RRID: Addgene_53968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pGEx-4T-1-YTHDF1</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>RRID: Addgene_70087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pcDNA3-FLAG-YTHDF2</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>RRID: Addgene_52300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pGEx-4T-1-YTHDF3</td>
<td>Addgene</td>
<td>RRID: Addgene_70088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pCMV-MYC-YTHDF1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pCMV-MYC-YTHDF2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pCMV-MYC-YTHDF3</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pcDNA3-FLAG-GFP</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS-HA-YTHDF2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2-N</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2-C</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2-1-100</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2-101-200</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2-201-383</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-YTHDF2(NΔ101-200)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pMS2-HA-GFP</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pEBG-HRS12</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pHCMV-MUP</td>
<td>Park et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid: pcDNA3-FLAG</td>
<td>Park et al., 2016</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Software and Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>riboPicker (v0.4.3)</td>
<td>Schmieder et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="http://ribopicker.sourceforge.net/">http://ribopicker.sourceforge.net/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR aligner (v2.5.2b)</td>
<td>Dobin et al., 2013</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR">https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTSeq (v0.6.1p1)</td>
<td>Anders et al., 2015</td>
<td><a href="https://pypi.org/pypi/HTSeq">https://pypi.org/pypi/HTSeq</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARalyzer (v1.5)</td>
<td>Corcoran et al., 2011</td>
<td><a href="https://ohlerlab.mdc-berlin.de/software/PARalyzer_85/">https://ohlerlab.mdc-berlin.de/software/PARalyzer_85/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACS (v1.4.2)</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008</td>
<td><a href="http://liulab.dfci.harvard.edu/MACS/">http://liulab.dfci.harvard.edu/MACS/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
amplified by PCR from pcDNA3-FLAG-YTHDF2 as a template with specific oligonucleotides: 5'-CGGAATTCGATGTCGGCCAGGAGATGTAAC-3' (sense) and 5'-CCACTTCCTAAGCAATGTTCCAAAAGTTGTAG-3' (antisense) for the amplification of the fragment coding for YTHDF2(1-100); 5'-CGGAATTCGATGTCGGCCAGGAGATGTAAC-3' (sense) and 5'-CCACTTCCTAAGCAATGTTCCAAAAGTTGTAG-3' (antisense) for the amplification of the fragment coding for YTHDF2(101-200); and 5'-CGGAATTCGATGTCGGCCAGGAGATGTAAC-3' (sense) and 5'-CCACTTCCTAAGCAATGTTCCAAAAGTTGTAG-3' (antisense) for the amplification of the fragment coding for YTHDF2(201-383). The fragment encoding the C-terminal region of YTHDF2 was amplified by PCR using pcDNA3-MYC-YTHDF2 as a template and specific oligonucleotides: 5'-GGGATCCCATTTCCCACGACCTTGGTACGACGTTGC-3' (antisense), where the underlined nucleotides specify the EcoRI site.

To construct pcDNA3-FLAG-GFP, a BamHI/HindIII fragment of pcDNA3-FLAG was ligated to a BamHI/HindIII fragment of GFP cdna, which was amplified by PCR using pEGFP-C2 (Clontech) as a template and specific oligonucleotides: 5'-GGGATCCCATTTCCCACGACCTTGGTACGACGTTGC-3' (antisense), where the underlined nucleotides specify the BamHI and HindIII sites, respectively.

For artificial tethering of YTHDF2 to the 3' UTR of β-6bs mRNA, pMS-HA-YTHDF2, which expresses MS-HA-fused human full-length YTHDF2, was constructed by ligating a NotI/NcoI fragment of pcDNA3-MYC-YTHDF2 to a NotI/NcoI fragment of pGEX-4T-1-YTHDF3 containing YTHDF3 cDNA were ligated to an XhoI/Klenow-filled SalI fragment of pCMV-MYC (Clontech), respectively.

HeLa and HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Capricorn Scientific) supplemented with 10% (v/v) of fetal bovine serum (Capricorn Scientific) and 1% (v/v) of a penicillin/streptomycin solution (Capricorn Scientific). HAP1 cells were cultured in IMDM (GIBCO) containing 10% (v/v) of fetal bovine serum and 1% (v/v) of the penicillin/streptomycin solution. HRSP12-KO HAP1 cell lines were generated by means of a CRISPR-Cas9 system from Horizon Discovery. Where indicated, the cells were treated with 100 nM Dex (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h before cell harvesting.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Plasmid construction**

The following constructs were described previously: pMS2-HA, pMS2-HA-SMG5, pcj-6bs, and pMS2-HA-PNRC2 (Cho et al., 2013); pcDNA3-FLAG-HRSP12-WT, pcDNA3-FLAG-HRSP12-WT(P105A/R107E), and pcCMV-MYC-HRSP12 (Park et al., 2016), pBG-SON-WT, pBG-SON-Mut, pBG-PLAC2-WT, and pBG-PLAC2-Mut were provided by Dr. Ligang Wu (Du et al., 2016). pCMV-hPOP1-WT, pBG-SON-Mut, pBG-PLAC2-WT, and pBG-PLAC2-Mut were provided by Dr. Ligang Wu (Du et al., 2016). pCMV-hPOP1-WT, pBG-SON-Mut, pBG-PLAC2-WT, and pBG-PLAC2-Mut were provided by Dr. Ligang Wu (Du et al., 2016). pCMV-hPOP1-WT, pBG-SON-Mut, pBG-PLAC2-WT, and pBG-PLAC2-Mut were provided by Dr. Ligang Wu (Du et al., 2016).

**CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING**

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Yoon Ki Kim (yk-kim@korea.ac.kr).
Next, the two PCR-amplified fragments were mixed and reamplified via PCR with the sense oligonucleotide used for amplification of the 5’ fragment and the antisense oligonucleotide employed for amplification of the 3’ fragment. The resulting PCR-amplified fragment was digested with Xhol/NotI and then ligated to a Xhol/NotI fragment of pMS2-HA-PRNCR2. GFP cDNA was amplified by a PCR using pEGFP-C2 as a template and specific oligonucleotides: 5’-CCGGATCCGCTCGAG-3’ (sense) and 5’-CTCTGGGTCCATGGTAAC-3’ (antisense), where the underlined nucleotides denote the BamHI and NotI sites, respectively. The resulting PCR-amplified fragment was digested with AccI/BamHI and then ligated to an AccI/BamHI fragment of pBG-SON-WT.

To construct pMS2-HA-GFP, a PCR-amplified XhoI/NotI fragment containing GFP cDNA was ligated to a XhoI/NotI fragment of pMS2-HA-PRNCR2. GFP cDNA was amplified by a PCR using pEGFP-C2 as a template and specific oligonucleotides: 5’-CCGGATCCGCTCGAG-3’ (sense) and 5’-CTCTGGGTCCATGGTAAC-3’ (antisense), where the underlined nucleotides denote the BamHI and NotI sites, respectively. The resulting PCR-amplified fragment was digested with AccI/BamHI and then ligated to an AccI/BamHI fragment of pBG-SON-WT.

**DNA or siRNA transfection**

The cells were transiently transfected with the indicated plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Two days after transfection, the cells were harvested, and total protein and RNA were purified as described previously (Park et al., 2016).

To downregulate an endogenous protein, HeLa cells or HEK293T cells were transfected with 100 nM in vitro–synthesized siRNA (Gene Pharma) by means of Oligofectamine (Invitrogen). For a double knockdown, 200 nM siRNA was used in total. Three days after transfection, the cells were harvested, and total protein and RNA were purified as described previously (Park et al., 2016). The siRNAs used in our study are listed in Table S6A.

**Protein expression and purification**

*Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) was constructed with a plasmid expressing GST, GST-YTHDF2, or GST-RavZ. Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (1 mM) was added to the culture medium to induce the expression of GST-fused proteins, when absorbance at 600 nm reached 0.5. The cultures were then incubated for additional 16 h at 18°C. Recombinant His-HRSP12 was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) RIL by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside, when absorbance at 600 nm was 0.5. After additional cultivation at 18°C for 16 h, the cells were harvested and resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine, and 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)], and sonicated.

The GST-fused proteins were purified on a GST affinity column (GE Healthcare). His-HRSP12 and His-HRSP12(P105A/R107E) were purified on a Hi-trap nickel nitrilotriacetic acid column (GE Healthcare). Subsequent purification was conducted on a Hi-trap Q HP anion exchange column (GE Healthcare). Finally, all the recombinant proteins were subjected to gel filtration on a Hi-Load 16/600 Superdex 200 or 16/600 Superdex 75 column in a buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine].

**Antibodies**

Primary antibodies against the following proteins were employed in this study [listed in the format “protein name (catalog number, supplier, fold dilution)”]: YTHDF2 (24744-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), HRSP12 (PA5-31352, Thermo Scientific, 1:1,000), POP1 (12029-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), RPP25 (15641-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), RPP20 (MO 1.201-100, ModiQuest, 1:500), METTL3 (15073-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), METTL14 (HPA038002, Sigma, 1:250), CNOT1 (14276-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), XRN1 (A300-443A), XRN2 (A301-103A, Bethyl, 1:1,000), snRN70 (sc-390899, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1,000), m6A (202 003, Synaptic, 1:1,000), GAPDH (LF-PA0212, Ab Frontier, 1:1,000), FLAG M2 Peroxidase (A8592, Sigma, 1:1,000), b-D-thio-galactoside, when absorbance at 600 nm was 0.5. After additional cultivation at 18°C for 16 h, the cells were harvested and resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine, and 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)], and sonicated.

The GST-fused proteins were purified on a GST affinity column (GE Healthcare). His-HRSP12 and His-HRSP12(P105A/R107E) were purified on a Hi-trap nickel nitrilotriacetic acid column (GE Healthcare). Subsequent purification was conducted on a Hi-trap Q HP anion exchange column (GE Healthcare). Finally, all the recombinant proteins were subjected to gel filtration on a Hi-Load 16/600 Superdex 200 or 16/600 Superdex 75 column in a buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine].

**Antibodies**

Primary antibodies against the following proteins were employed in this study [listed in the format “protein name (catalog number, supplier, fold dilution)”]: YTHDF2 (24744-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), HRSP12 (PA5-31352, Thermo Scientific, 1:1,000), POP1 (12029-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), RPP25 (15641-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), RPP20 (MO 1.201-100, ModiQuest, 1:500), METTL3 (15073-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), METTL14 (HPA038002, Sigma, 1:250), CNOT1 (14276-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:1,000), XRN1 (A300-443A), XRN2 (A301-103A, Bethyl, 1:1,000), snRN70 (sc-390899, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1,000), m6A (202 003, Synaptic, 1:1,000), b-D-thio-galactoside, when absorbance at 600 nm was 0.5. After additional cultivation at 18°C for 16 h, the cells were harvested and resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine, and 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)], and sonicated.

**Quantitative real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was purified with the TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies), and cDNA synthesis was carried out as described previously (Park et al., 2016). Quantitative real-time PCR analyses were performed with gene-specific primers and the Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I Master Mix (Roche) on a Light Cycler 480 II machine (Roche). The oligonucleotides used in our study are listed in Table S6B.

**Measurement of mRNA half-life**

HeLa cells were transfected with siRNA or plasmids. Three or 2 days later, the cells were treated with 100 μg/mL 5,6-dichloro-1-β-D-ribofuranosylbenzimidazole (DRB; a potent transcription inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich) at 6, 3, and 0 h before the cell harvesting (for
mRNA-seq experiments). For measuring the half-life of the reporter mRNAs, the cells were harvested at 0, 1, 2, and 3 h after DRB treatment. Total RNA was purified with the TRizol Reagent (Life Technologies). For an mRNA-sequencing assay, ERCC RNA spike-in control (Ambion) was added to each sample according to the manufacturer’s instructions before library construction. The half-life of transcripts was limited to 0–24 h.

**Immunoprecipitation**

IP procedures and RNA IP were performed on HeLa cells and HEK293T cells as described elsewhere (Park et al., 2016). Before the IPs, the extracts were treated with RNase A to prevent an RNA-mediated indirect interaction of proteins. A primary antibody against YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, m6A, or FLAG was applied to IPs and RNA IPs.

**In vitro GST pull-down assay**

In these assays, purified recombinant GST, GST-YTHDF2, or GST-RavZ (25 μg) was mixed with His-HRSP12 (25 μg) and incubated in 200 μL of binding buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 2 mM benzamidine, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor mixture tablet (Roche)] at 4°C for 1 h. Glutathione Sepharose 4B resin (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech) was added to the mixture and incubated at 4°C for 1 h. After quick centrifugation, the pellets were washed three times with binding buffer. The resin-bound proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to either Coomassie blue staining or WB.

**In vitro CLIP using biotinylated RNAs**

Biotin-labeled random 23-mer, GCAAC motif, and GGUUC motif RNAs were used for in vitro CLIP of HRSP12 (Figure 5J). Biotin-labeled random 41-mer, GCAAC-A motif, GCAAC-m6A motif, GGUUC-A motif, and GGUUC-m6A motif RNAs were employed for in vitro CLIP of HRSP12 and YTHDF2 (Figure 7E). All the biotin-labeled RNAs were purchased from GeneLink Inc. (Orlando, FL, USA). Sequence information on biotin RNAs is provided in Table S6C.

In vitro CLIP involving biotinylated RNAs, which allows for measuring a direct protein-RNA interaction in vitro, was carried out as described elsewhere (Castello et al., 2012). For the preparation of cell extracts, HeLa cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellets re-suspended with NET-2 buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mM benzamidine, and 0.05% NP-40] were sonicated on ice with 2 × 30 bursts of 1 s each (Branson Sonifier 250, output control 3, 30% duty cycle). After centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was precleared by incubation with 50 μL of streptavidin resin (Thermo Scientific) for 1 h at 4°C. After preclearing and centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 1 min at 4°C, the supernatant was mixed with biotin-labeled RNAs (10 μg) in NET-2 buffer containing 100 U of an RNase inhibitor (Thermo Scientific) at 30°C for 30 min and irradiated with ultraviolet (UV; 254 nm, 500 mJ/cm²) light using a UV cross-linker (UVP). Then, 50 μL of streptavidin agarose resin was mixed with each cell extract and incubated at 4°C for 3 h. After that, the biotinylated RNA-bound resin samples were washed with a buffer containing decreasing concentration of LiCl and LiDS. In brief, the biotinylated RNA-bound resin samples were washed with the following buffers, in this order: buffer 1 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, and 0.5% LiDS], buffer 2 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, and 0.1% LiDS], buffer 3 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, and 0.01% NP-40], and buffer 4 [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 200 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM DTT]. The resin-bound proteins were eluted with LiDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) and subjected to western blotting.

**In vivo CLIP**

In vivo CLIP experiments were carried out as CLIP-seq with slight modifications. In brief, HeLa cells were irradiated with UV light (254 nm, 400 mJ/cm²) using a UV cross-linker (UVP) before harvesting. The cross-linked cell pellets were re-suspended with 600 μL of lysis buffer [20.3 mM Na₂HPO₄, 3.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 6.8 mM KCl, 342.5 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.5% NP-40 (pH 7.2)] and incubated for 10 min on ice. RNase-free DNase I (30 U; Thermo Scientific) was added to the cell lysates. After centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatants were mixed with protein A Dynabeads (Invitrogen) preincubated with the primary antibody for 2 h at 4°C and subjected to IP. The beads were washed twice with lysis buffer and with PNK buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% NP-40]. The resin-bound protein and RNA were purified as described previously (Park et al., 2016).

**In vitro RNA cleavage assay**

RNA substrates were synthesized by in vitro transcription of a XhoI fragment of pSK-C5’ (Park et al., 2016) as a template, T7 RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), 10 mM NTPs, and 250 μCi [α-32P]UTP. In vitro–transcribed RNAs were incubated with 100 ng of purified recombinant His-HRSP12, His-HRSP12(P105A/R107E), or RNase A, as a positive control, in reaction buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, and 2 mM MgCl₂] at 37°C for 1 h. After that, the purified RNAs were analyzed on 10% urea gels and subjected to autoradiography with FLA7000 (Fuji Photo Film Co.).

**Far-western blotting**

Far-western blotting was performed using purified recombinant GST, GST-YTHDF2, GST-RavZ, and His-HRSP12. Briefly, the purified recombinant proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a Hybond ECL nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham...
 Biosciences). The membrane was incubated for 24 h at 4°C in blocking buffer [100 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 100 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, and 0.05% Tween 20] containing 5% of skimmed milk. The membrane was next incubated for 24 h at 4°C in blocking buffer containing immunopurified POP1-FLAG or FLAG-GFP. Then, the membrane was washed once with blocking buffer and twice with TBS [25 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, and 0.05% Tween 20]. The washed membrane was analyzed by WB with an α-FLAG antibody.

**Nucleocytoplasmic fractionation**

These procedures were performed according to manufacturer’s instructions for NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo Scientific).

**mRNA sequencing**

HeLa cells were transiently transfected with control siRNA or siRNA against YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or CNOT1. Three days later, total-cell RNA was purified using TRIzol and subjected to library construction procedures and mRNA sequencing assays, which were performed by Macrogen Inc. In brief, the RNA integrity number was measured on a bioanalyzer (Agilent). The purified RNA samples were next subjected to mRNA-seq library construction using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina). The constructed cDNA libraries were validated regarding size distribution on an Agilent Bioanalyzer (DNA 1000 kit; Agilent), quantitated by qPCR (Kapa Library Quant Kit; Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA), and then adjusted to 2 nmol/L for NGS on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (100-base paired-end reads). The Pearson correlation coefficients (r) of two biological replicates of mRNA-seq using total-cell RNAs of the cells either undepleted or depleted of each factor were greater than 0.945, indicating a strong correlation between the two replicates (Table S1).

**CLIP sequencing (CLIP-seq)**

CLIP-seq experiments were carried out as previously described (Murigneux et al., 2013; Ryu et al., 2019) with slight modifications. In brief, HeLa cells were irradiated with ultraviolet (UV; 254 nm, 400 mJ/cm²) light using a UV cross-linker (UVP) before harvesting. The cross-linked cell pellets were mixed with 600 μL of lysis buffer [20.3 mM Na₂HPO₄, 3.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 6.8 mM KCl, 342.5 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.5% NP-40 (pH 7.2)] and incubated for 10 min on ice. RNase-free DNase I (30 U; Thermo Scientific) was added to the cell lysates and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Then, 0.1 or 1 ng of RNase A (Affymetrix) was additionally introduced with incubation for 10 min at 37°C. After centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatants were subjected to IP with protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) preincubated with the primary antibody for 2 h at 4°C. The beads were washed twice with lysis buffer and with PNK buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% NP-40].

To eliminate the terminal phosphates, the beads were mixed with 40 U/mL alkaline phosphatase (Roche) and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. The beads were then washed twice with PNK+EGTA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.5% NP-40, and 20 mM EGTA] and twice with PNK buffer. To phosphorylate the 5' end of RNAs, the beads were mixed with 0.5 U/μL T4 polynucleotide kinase (T4 PNK; New England Biolabs) and 0.125 mM ATP in PNK buffer for 10 min incubation at 37°C. The beads were then washed twice with PNK+EGTA buffer. Finally, RNAs and proteins bound to the beads were eluted by means of lithium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer (Invitrogen).

To isolate RNA covalently cross-linked to proteins, the samples were separated by SDS-PAGE in a Novex NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. A piece of the membranes ranging from the molecular weight of the immunoprecipitated protein to approximately 20 kDa above was excised. The piece of membrane was soaked in PK buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA] containing 4 mg/mL proteinase K (Roche), incubated for 20 min at 37°C, and next incubated in PK/Urea buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, and 7 M urea] for 20 min at 37°C. The supernatants were subjected to organic extraction and ethanol precipitation with GlycoBlue (Ambion) to precipitate RNA fragments.

Construction of small RNA libraries was performed by means of the SMARTer® smRNA-Seq Kit for Illumina® (Clontech). Sequencing was carried out using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out in single-end mode (1 × 100 bp) on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina, CA, USA). The sequencing was conducted by Macrogen Inc.

**PARE sequencing (PARE-seq)**

PARE-seq experiments were conducted as previously described (German et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2015) with some modifications. In brief, HeLa cells were transfected with XRN1/2 siRNA and siRNA against YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or CNOT1. Three days later, total-cell RNAs were purified with the TRIzol Reagent. Polyadenylated RNAs were purified using the Oligotex mRNA kit (QIAGEN). The purified RNAs were ligated to an RNA oligonucleotide adaptor (5'-GUUCAGAGUUCAGAGCCGAC-3') using T4 RNA ligase (New England Biolabs), where the underlined nucleotides specify an MmeI site. The ligated products were purified with the Oligotex mRNA kit again and were used in a template in a reverse-transcription reaction with the oligonucleotide 5'-CGAGCAGAAGATATA CGACT(18)K-3' and reverse transcriptase (Thermo Scientific) for the first-strand cDNA synthesis. Then, the cDNA was amplified with DNA polymerase (Solgent) and specific oligonucleotides: 5'-GTTCAGAAGCTTACACACGAC-3' (sense) and 5'-CGAGCACA GAATTATGCTACT-3' (antisense). The PCR conditions were as follows: 7 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 68°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 3 min. The PCR products were cleaved with MmeI (New England Biolabs), and the cleavage products corresponding to ~42 nucleotides in length were excised from the gel. The products were ligated to a double-stranded DNA adaptor with a 2-nucleotide
NGS raw data were preprocessed in the following order: trimming of adaptor and poly(A) sequences by means of Cutadapt (https://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/stable/), filtering out ribosomal sequences in the riboPicker software (Schmieder et al., 2012) with a customized RNA dataset. At the adaptor-trimming step, the read lengths over 15 bp and with Phred quality score ≥ 30 were filtered for further analysis. For PARE-seq analysis, only the reads ranging from 20 to 21 nucleotides were selected. The filtered reads were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) via the STAR software (Dobin et al., 2013). Only uniquely mapped reads were chosen for subsequent analyses. The correlations between two independent biological replicates in each experiment were determined by means of the NumPy library in Python.

The numbers of reads obtained from stepwise processing are summarized in Tables S1–S3 (Table S1 for mRNA-seq; Table S2 for HRSP12 CLIP-seq; and Table S3 for PARE-seq).

Transcriptome analysis and RNA half-life profiling
The mapped reads from mRNA sequencing were quantified in FPKM via Cufflinks version 2.2.1 (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were found by means of the Cuffdiff program, a part of the Cufflinks software package.

For GO analysis, the 328 gene symbols of interest (H \(\cap\) Y \(\cap\) P) were uploaded to DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) and then analyzed with the functional annotation tool. The identified GO terms and their corresponding P values were retrieved from the downloaded output files: molecular function (level 4) and biological process (level 3) terms (Table S4).

RNA half-life data were analyzed by removal of unwanted variation-based normalized read counts. A customized reference sequence, which was defined as the union of the human reference genome (hg19) and the 92 ERCC spike-in control sequences, was used for mapping. The read counts per gene were estimated using the htseq-count Python code (Anders et al., 2015). With the normalized read counts, the half-life of a transcript was calculated according to a known formula (Wang et al., 2014).

CLIP peak calling and analysis
The peak calling of HRSP12 CLIP was conducted in the piranha software (http://smithlabresearch.org/software/piranha/) with specific option settings (-b 20 -a 0.9) with covariates (mRNA-seq of input). The YTHDF2 peaks were obtained by PARalyzer ver. 1.5 (Corcoran et al., 2011), an algorithm measuring T-to-C conversions, with default settings from published PAR-CLIP data (Wang et al., 2014).

Annotation of the exonic regions of mRNAs and noncoding RNAs was retrieved from two publicly available databases: RefSeq Genes [hg19; UCSC table browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTables)] and GENCODE (Release 19). To obtain the intrinsic region of those RNAs, the subtractBed module from Bedtools v.2.25.0 was employed (https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/stable/). Six hierarchical categories were set up according to the following annotation: CDSs > 5’ UTRs > 3’ UTRs > IncRNAs > introns of mRNAs > intron of IncRNAs. Then, the called CLIP peaks were assigned to each genomic region category using the intersectBed function from Bedtools. To measure the relative peak distribution in each category, the number of peaks was normalized to the length of the corresponding region.

Metagene analysis
Only the longest mRNA among alternative isoforms (RefSeq genes) was considered a representative in the metagene analysis. The centers of all CLIP peaks obtained from two biological replicates of CLIP-seq experiments were displayed on their corresponding regions: 5’ UTR, CDS, and 3’ UTR. Each region was binned into 50 segments and smoothed by the nearest-neighbor method (k = 3). Only two (SRR944646 and SRR944648) out of three replicates from published YTHDF2 CLIP data (Wang et al., 2014) were used to obtain peaks, because the data size of the rest was too small to compare with others.

Consensus motif analysis
The consensus motif from HRSP12 CLIP peaks was identified with the HOMER package (v.4.8.3) and MEME (v.5.0.1). The motif length was restricted to 10 nucleotides in HOMER or 5-10 nucleotides in MEME. Then, the predicted motif with the lowest P values or E value was selected.

m\(^\text{6}\text{A}\)-seq analysis
m\(^\text{6}\text{A}\)-containing genes were analyzed on the basis of previously published data (Wang et al., 2014). Peaks were obtained in the model-based analysis of ChIP-seq (MACS) peak-calling software with m\(^\text{6}\text{A}\) input as control, as previously described (Zhang et al.,...
The relative distance from HRSP12 CLIP peaks was calculated by the method that was used to measure the distance between MaxPeak and MaxSeq (refer to the “Relative distances between CLIP MaxPeaks and PARE MaxSeqs” subsection).

### PARE analysis

The PARE analysis was carried out as previously described (Schmidt et al., 2015) with slight modifications. The 5' end of the PARE mapped reads was defined as a PARE sequence. The functional PARE sequences were selected as follows (Table S3): abundance of the genes containing a PARE sequence: FPKM ≥ 5; tags per 5 million (TP5M) ≥ 100 in the cells treated with siXRN1/2; and the ratio of TP5M in the cells treated with siXRN1/2 to that in the cells simultaneously treated with siXRN1/2 and other siRNA ≥ 2. The most abundant PARE sequence in an individual gene was identified and defined as PARE MaxSeq. Nucleotide composition analysis of the 10-nucleotide regions surrounding 2,257 PARE MaxSeqs was carried out by in-house python code.

### CDF analysis

To compare the cumulative distribution of transcript input before and after downregulation of YTHDF2, HRSP12, POP1, RPP20, or CNOT1 (Figure 4), four groups (non-targets, CLIP–IP, CLIP, and CLIP∩IP targets) categorized in a previous study (Wang et al., 2014) were used. In particular, only the genes with FPKM ≥ 5 in our mRNA-seq of siControl-treated cells were considered for the CDF analysis in this study.

In case of HRSP12 CLIP targets (Figure 5B), we obtained peak-containing common genes from two biological replicates of HRSP12 CLIP-seq experiments. The common genes (in the overlap) between the gene set of HRSP12 CLIP targets and the previously categorized gene set of YTHDF2 CLIP targets were categorized as H∩Y transcripts (Figures 5C–5G).

### Relative distances between CLIP MaxPeaks and PARE MaxSeqs

For the simple comparison of the distance, we first determined a MaxPeak, which was defined in our study as the most abundant CLIP peak in exons of an individual gene. After that, the distances between MaxSeq and MaxPeak in individual protein-coding genes and mRNAs were analyzed. Among isoforms of the same gene, the minimal distance was chosen. P values between MaxSeq and MaxPeak were calculated by the one-tailed Mann–Whitney U test. The genomic positions of YTHDF2 MaxPeak, HRSP12 MaxPeak, and PARE MaxSeq among the 115 mRNAs targeted by the YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP pathway are listed in Table S5.

### mRNA length distribution

To characterize the length distribution of mRNAs within the H∩Y∩P group, only the longest mRNA among alternative isoforms (RefSeq genes) was chosen. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test performed in the scipy.stats.ks_2samp module of the SciPy Python library was applied to the statistical test of the difference in the length between total mRNAs and mRNAs in the H∩Y∩P group.

### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Two-tailed and equal-variance Student’s t test was performed for statistical analysis with significance defined as a P value < 0.05 or < 0.01. In most cases, data obtained from at least three independently performed biological replicates were analyzed, unless indicated otherwise in the Figure legends section. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

For Figure 1D, the levels of colPed proteins were normalized to the amount of IPed YTHDF2. Then, the normalized levels obtained in the immunoprecipitates of YTHDF2 in undepleted cells were arbitrarily set to 1.0. Downregulation efficiency was also calculated by comparing the levels of proteins between undepleted and HRSP12-depleted cells.

In case of CDF analysis, P values were calculated by the two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test. For measuring the distance between MaxSeq and MaxPeak and for the CDF analysis of double knockdown samples, P values were calculated by the one-tailed Mann–Whitney U test. For the analysis of correlation between mRNA sequencing data obtained from two biological replicates, Pearson’s correlation coefficients (r) were calculated. In case of analysis of gene length distribution, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied.

### DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The accession number for the next-generation sequencing data reported in this paper is NCBI Sequence Read Archive: SRP155278. Raw data images can be found at https://doi.org/10.17632/rxjghsgczt.1.